

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.)	
VIRGIL ANTONIO LANGFORD)	Case No: <u>DNCW109CR000023-008</u>
)	USM No: <u>23555-058</u>
Date of Original Judgment: <u>May 21, 2010</u>)	
Date of Last Amended Judgment: <u>N/A</u>)	<u>Eric Bach</u>
)	Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 75 months is reduced to 64 months

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Original Offense Level: <u>23</u>	Amended Offense Level: <u>21</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>IV</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>IV</u>
Original Guideline Range: <u>70 to 87 months</u>	Amended Guideline Range: <u>60 to 71 months</u>

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.
- ☒ Other (explain): The defendant did not receive a downward departure under §5K1.1 or a waiver under 3553(e); therefore, his sentence is limited to the statutory mandatory minimum of 5 years (60 months).
*This sentence is to run concurrent to the remainder of the undischarged terms of imprisonment in cases: 08CRS60869, 08CRS60870, and 08CRS61694 in North Carolina Superior Court, Buncombe County, [USSG §5G1.3(b)(2)].

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


Upon release from imprisonment, and absent a residential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation Officer.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated May 21, 2010, shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: August 10, 2012

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)


Martin Reidinger
United States District Judge

